1	GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC	
2	DENNIS STEWART, SBN: 99152 600 W. Broadway, Suite 3300	
3	San Diego, CA 92101	
4	Telephone: (619) 595-3299 Facsimile: (612) 339-6622	
5		
6	COLEMAN & HOROWITT, LLP DARRYL J. HOROWITT, SBN: 100898	
7	SHERRIE M. FLYNN, SBN: 240215 499 West Shaw, Suite 116 Fresno, CA 93704	
8	Telephone: (559) 248-4820	
9	Facsimile: (559) 248-4830	RECEIVED 9/23/2024 2:01 PM
10	Attorneys for Plaintiffs [Additional Counsel on Signature Page]	FRESNO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT By: Sonia Nunez, Deputy
11	IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
12	IN AND FOR THE COUNTY OF FRESNO	
13		
14	MANMOHAN DHILLON, dba RANCHOS	CASE NO. 14CECG03039 JMS
	VALERO, SATNAM PABLA, dba GMG	IDDODOCEDI HIDOMENE AND ODDED
15	FOOD STORE 101 and MADERA AVE. MARKET, SERGE HAITAYAN, dba 7-11	[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT AND ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF
16	NUMBER 17906b, DALJIT SINGH, dba	CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
17	LIQUOR MAX, and PAR VENTURES, LLC,	WID OF ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL ALL AL
18	dba, QUICK PICK, on Their Own Behalves and on Behalf of All Others Similarly Situated	JUDGE: Honorable Jonathan M. Skiles
	and on Behalf of the General Public,	DATE: November 5, 2024
19		TIME: 3:30PM
20	Plaintiffs,	DEPT: 403
21	v.	
22	ANHEUSER-BUSCH, LLC, DONAGHY	
23	SALES, LLC, a California Corporation;	
24	ANHEUSER-BUSCH DOES 1-5 and DOES 6 through 50, inclusive,	
25		
26	Defendants.	
27		
$\begin{bmatrix} 27 \\ 28 \end{bmatrix}$		

WHEREAS, the Court is advised that the Parties, through their counsel, have agreed, subject to Court approval following notice to the Class and a hearing, to settle this Action upon the terms and conditions set forth in the Stipulation of Settlement dated September 27, 2023 (the "Stipulation" or "Settlement"); and

WHEREAS, on May 21, 2024, the Court entered its Order Granting Preliminarily Approval of Class Action Settlement, which preliminarily approved the Settlement, and approved the form and manner of notice to the Class of the Settlement, setting a schedule, procedures, and a final approval hearing, and said notice has been made, and the final approval hearing having been held; and

NOW, THEREFORE, based upon the Stipulation and all of the filings, records, and proceedings herein, and it appearing to the Court upon examination that the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and upon a Final Approval Hearing having been held after notice to the Class of the Settlement to determine if the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and whether the Judgment should be entered in this Action;

THE COURT HEREBY FINDS AND CONCLUDES THAT:

- A. The provisions of the Stipulation, including definitions of the terms used therein, are hereby incorporated by reference as though fully set forth herein.
- B. This Court has jurisdiction of the subject matter of this Action and over all of the Parties and all Class Members for purposes of the Settlement.
- C. The form, content, and method of dissemination of notice given to the Class and all persons entitled to receive such notice was adequate and reasonable and constituted the best notice practicable under the circumstances, including individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort.
- D. Notice, as given, complied with the requirements of California law, satisfied the requirements of due process, and constituted due and sufficient notice of the matters set forth herein.

9

6

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19 20

21

2223

24

2526

2728

E. The Settlement, as set forth in the Stipulation, is fair, reasonable, and adequate:

- (i) The Settlement was negotiated at arm's length by Class Representatives on behalf of the Class and by Defendants, all of whom were represented by highly experienced and skilled counsel. The case settled only after, among other things: (a) mediations conducted by an experienced mediator who was familiar with this Action; (b) the exchange between Plaintiffs and Defendants of detailed mediation statements and exhibits prior to the mediations which highlighted the factual and legal issues in dispute; (c) follow-up negotiations between the Class Representatives and Defendants; (d) Plaintiffs' Counsel's extensive investigation and litigation of the case, which included, among other things, i) extensive written, deposition and informal discovery of Plaintiffs, Defendants and third parties, and ii) numerous Plaintiffs and Defendants' expert reports and depositions; (e) the drafting and submission of detailed complaints; (f) extensive motion practice including demurrers, and multiple motions for class certification and appeals therefrom; and (g) the review and analysis of extensive document productions by Defendants, Plaintiffs and third parties. Accordingly, both the Class Representatives and Defendants were wellpositioned to evaluate the settlement value of this Action. The Stipulation has been entered into in good faith and is not collusive.
- (ii) If the Settlement had not been achieved, both Class Representatives and Defendants faced the expense, risk, and uncertainty of extended litigation. The Court takes no position on the merits of either Class Representatives' or Defendants' arguments but notes these arguments as evidence in support of the reasonableness of the Settlement.
- F. Class Representatives and Plaintiffs' Counsel have fairly and adequately represented the interest of the Class Members in connection with the Settlement.
- G. Class Representatives, all Class Members, and Defendants are hereby bound by the terms of the Settlement set forth in the Stipulation.

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:

- 1. The Settlement on the terms set forth in the Stipulation is finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate. The Settlement shall be implemented, performed, and consummated in accordance with the material terms, conditions and provisions of the Stipulation without material modification of those terms, conditions and provisions. The Parties are to bear their own costs, except as otherwise provided in the Stipulation.
- All Defendant Released Parties and Plaintiff Released Parties as defined in the Stipulation are released from all Released Claims in accordance with, and as defined in, the Stipulation.
- 3. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Plaintiff Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Plaintiff Released Claims against the Defendant Released Parties, whether or not such Class Member executes and delivers a Proof of Claim and Release.
- 4. Upon the Effective Date, each of the Defendant Releasing Parties shall be deemed to have, and by operation of this Judgment shall have, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged all Defendant Released Claims against the Plaintiff Released Parties.
- 5. All Class Members who have not objected to the Settlement in the manner provided in the Notice of Proposed Settlement of Class Action ("Notice") are deemed to have waived any objections by appeal, collateral attack, or otherwise.
- 6. All Class Members who have failed to properly submit requests for exclusion (requests to opt out) from the Class are bound by the terms and conditions of the Stipulation and this Judgment.
- 7. To date, there have been no requests for exclusion by any persons or entities to this Judgment. Should any be submitted after the date of this filing, Plaintiffs' counsel will apprise the Court accordingly.

- 8. All other provisions of the Stipulation are incorporated into this Judgment as if fully rewritten herein.
- 9. Class Representatives and all Class Members are hereby barred and enjoined from instituting, commencing, maintaining, or prosecuting in any court or tribunal any of the Plaintiff Released Claims against any of the Defendant Released Parties.
- 10. Neither the Stipulation nor the Settlement, nor any act performed or document executed pursuant to or in furtherance of the Stipulation or the Settlement:
- (a) Shall be offered or received against Defendants as evidence of, or evidence in support of, a presumption, concession, or admission with respect to any liability, negligence, fault, or wrongdoing, or in any way referred to for any other reason as against Defendants, in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding, other than such proceedings as may be necessary to effectuate the provisions of the Stipulation; however, Defendants may refer to it to effectuate the liability protection granted them hereunder;
- (b) Shall be construed as or received in evidence as an admission, concession, or presumption against Class Representatives or any of the Class Members that any of their claims are without merit, or that any defenses asserted by Defendants have any merit, or that damages recoverable in this Action would have exceeded the Settlement Fund; and
- (c) Notwithstanding the foregoing, Defendants, Class Representatives, Class Members, and/or the Released Parties may file the Stipulation and/or this Judgment in any action that may be brought against them in order to support a defense or counterclaim based on principles of *res judicata*, collateral estoppel, release, good faith settlement, judgment bar, or reduction, or any other theory of claim preclusion or issue preclusion or similar defense or counterclaim.
- 11. The Court hereby finds and concludes that due and adequate notice was directed to all Persons and entities who are Class Members advising them of the Plan for Allocation of Settlement Proceeds and of their right to object thereto, and a full and fair opportunity was

accorded to all Persons and entities who are Class Members to be heard with respect to the Plan of Allocation.

- 12. The Court hereby finds and concludes that the methodology for the calculation of the claims of Class Members, which is set forth in the Notice sent to Class Members, provides a fair and reasonable basis upon which to allocate the proceeds of the Net Settlement Fund established by the Stipulation among Class Members, with due consideration having been given to administrative convenience and necessity.
- 13. The Court finds that there is no just reason for delay and directs that the judgment of dismissal as to Defendants shall be final and appealable and entered forthwith.
- 14. In the event that the Stipulation is terminated in accordance with its terms: (i) this Judgment shall be rendered null and void and shall be vacated *nunc pro tunc*; and (ii) this Action shall proceed as provided in the Stipulation.
- 15. Without affecting the finality of this Judgment in any way, this Court retains continuing jurisdiction over: (a) implementation of this Settlement and any award or distribution of the Settlement Fund, including interest earned thereon; (b) disposition of the Settlement Fund; (c) hearing and determining applications for attorneys' fees, interest, expenses and service awards in the Action; and (d) all Parties hereto for the purpose of construing, enforcing, and administrating the Stipulation.

DATED:	<u></u>
	THE HONORABLE JONATHAN M. SKILES
	SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA